

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/599,086	06/21/2000	Eric S. Rockey	MS1-562US	6625
22801	7590 05/25/2004		EXAMINER	
LEE & HAYES PLLC			BONSHOCK, DENNIS G	
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201		500	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2173	j.
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		· 🕒	41			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
	09/599,086	ROCKEY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dennis G. Bonshock	2173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	oears on the cover sheet t	vitn the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC a cause the application to become	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 N</u>						
20/23 17110 5151151 1511	s action is non-final.	Hara arrangation on to the morite is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abey ction is required if the drawing.	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ats have been received.  ats have been received in  brity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-10 and 13.	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date if Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2173

# **Final Rejection**

### Response to Amendment

- 1. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed on record in the file: Amendment A as received on 03-08-2004.
- Claims have been examined.

#### Status of Claims:

Claims 2, 5-7, 9-11, 36-40, 43, 46-48, 50-54, 59, 80, 81, 84-89, and 92-94 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bibayan, Patent # 5,572,648.

Claims 3, 4, 12, 13, 41, 42, 45, 49, 56, 57, 82, 83, 90, and 91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bibayan and Meyer et al., Patent # 5,742,504, hereinafter Meyer.

Claim 58 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bibayan and Powers, III et al., Patent # 5,602,996, hereinafter Powers.

Claims 69-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baer et al., Patent # 6,611,840, hereinafter Baer and Meyer.

Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bibayan and Baer.

Claim 55 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bibayan and Gayraud et al., Patent # 5,436,637, hereinafter Gayraud.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2173

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 4. Claims 2, 5-7, 9-11, 36-40, 43, 46-48, 46-48, 50-54, 59, 80, 81, 84-89, and 92-94 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bibayan, Patent # 5,572,648.
- 5. With regard to claim 6, which teaches, determining a users context comprises ascertaining a position of a user's cursor with in a document provided by the application program, Bibayan teaches, in column 4, lines 40-44, the user locating and initiating a command. With regard to claim 6, further teaching automatically displaying at least one command on a display for the user based on the user's context, Bibayan teaches, in column 4, lines 45-65, the dynamic tool palette display altering its size, shape, and contents in accordance with the context of the currently operating applet.
- 6. With regard to claims 2, 81, and 89, which teach automatically removing commands from the display responsive to a change in user context, Bibayan teaches, in column 5, line 45, that if tools are deemed not relevant then the display is modified to not include them.
- 7. With regard to claims 5, 84, and 92, which teach executing a command without requiring any action from a user other than selecting the command, Bibayan teaches, in column 4, line 53, an active toolbar where commands can be selected (executed) at any time.

Art Unit: 2173

8. With regard to claim 7, which teaches determining comprising ascertaining a user's selection within a document provided by the application program, Bibayan teaches, in column 4, lines 40-44, the user locating and initiating a command.

- 9. With regard to claims 9, 85, and 93, which teach the context pertaining to various tasks that the user may attempt to accomplish, Bibayan teaches, in column 5, line 2, the context modified with tool functions, which apply to the currently running folder.
- 10. With regard to claims 10, 86, and 94, which teach that the context pertains to one or more of: a type of document, and a state of a document, Bibayan teaches, in column 5, line 2, the context modified with tool functions which apply to the currently running folder.
- 11. With regard to claims 11 and 87, which teach displaying being independent of a user selecting any displayed menu item, Bibayan teaches, in column 5, line 2, that modification is based on context changes.
- 12. With regard to claim 36, which teaches a method of exposing commands in a software application comprising; determining a user's context, Bibayan teaches, in column 1, lines 17-22, a display of a toolbar containing dynamic data that changes in accordance with the context of the environment or the currently operating application program. With regard to claim 36, which teaches determining comprising evaluating a portion of one or more expressions, expressions being associated with a context block, and defining a condition that describes one or more aspect of a user's interaction, Bibayan teaches, in column 2, lines 41-51, defining a context environment which creates data for a designated container, The method contains steps of executing to

Application/Control Number: 09/599,086

Art Unit: 2173

determine environment (similar to evaluating expressions), and modify with respect to the context (the evaluation of the expressions). With regard to claim 36, further teaching automatically displaying at least one context block based on user context, Bibayan teaches, in column 5, line 2, modifying the toolbar when the context is modified. The context contains tool functions, which apply to the currently running folder.

- 13. With regard to claim 37, which teaches the expression evaluating to a Boolean value, Bibayan teaches, in column 4, line 66 through column 5, line 5, determining if the context modifies the toolbar or if it doesn't (much like a Boolean to modify? True or False).
- 14. With regard to claim 38, which teaches, that the context pertains to one or more of: a type of document, a state of a document, and a particular users selection, Bibayan teaches, in column 5, line 2, the context modified with tool functions which apply to the currently running folder.
- 15. With regard to claim 39, which teaches displaying comprising displaying a context block having a title bar area that labels the context block, Bibayan teaches, in figure 4, a context block that has a given title associated with it.
- 16. With regard to claim 40, which teaches the tile bar area configured to enable the context block to be toggled between expanded and collapsible states, Bibayan teaches, in figure 4 both the static and dynamic tool palettes being standard windows which have the functionality to be maximized, minimized, and closed.
- 17. With regard to claim 43, which teaches displaying a context block with a controls area that exposes the multiple commands to the user, Bibayan teaches, in column 5,

Application/Control Number: 09/599,086

Art Unit: 2173

line 2, determining whether to modify a tool display and displaying tool functions based on the context.

- 18. With regard to claim 46, which teaches a method of exposing commands in a software application program, comprising determining a users context, and displaying a dynamic toolbar based on the context, Bibayan teaches, in column 1, lines 17-22, a display of a toolbar containing dynamic data that changes in accordance with the context of the environment or the currently operating application program. With regard to claim 46, further teaching determining the user context being independent of the selection of a menu item, Bibayan teaches, in column 4, lines 45-65, the display being dependent on the context of an applet, not dependent on the user selecting any displayed menu item. With regard to claim 46, further teaching that while the commands are displayed, allowing the user to select and apply various commands multiple times, Bibayan teaches, in column 4, line 53, the dynamic tool palette being always active, where the operator can select any one of the commands.
- 19. With regard to claim 47, which teaches applying one or more of select commands, when selected by the user without further interaction, Bibayan teaches, in column 4, line 53, the dynamic tool palette being always active, where the operator can select any one of the commands.
- 20. With regard to claim 48, which teaches displaying comprising displaying the commands responsive to the user selecting from a menu bar supported by automatically-appearing and disappearing context block that contains context-sensitive

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/599,086

Art Unit: 2173

commands, Bibayan teaches, in column 5, lines 2-7 and lines 52-57, modifying the toolbar in response to a change in context, and a possible deletion of the toolbar.

- 21. With regard to claim 50, which teaches displaying comprises displaying the commands within a context pane having a title bar that labels the context pane and a controls area that exposes the commands to the user, Bibayan teaches, in column 5, line 2, and in figure 4, a dynamic toolbar which has separate title and tool sections.
- 22. With regard to claim 51, which teaches the context pane being non-collapsible, Bibayan teaches, in column 4, line 21, the user opening a context pane and a static pane by opening a specific application, therefor they are always displayed, the reference goes on the not specifically mention collapsing the context pane.
- 23. With regard to claim 52, which teaches that the context pane must be closed by the user, Bibayan teaches, in column 5, lines 52-57, that when all applets have been terminated the dynamic tool palette display can be terminated, as well.
- 24. With regard to claim 53, which teaches that the user requests the context pane be displayed, Bibayan teaches, in column 4, line 21, the user opens a context pane by opening a specific application.
- 25. With regard to claim 54, which teaches that some of the commands being context-sensitive and are disabled if they are out of context, Bibayan teaches, in column 5, line 45, that if tools are deemed not relevant then the display is modified to not include them.

Art Unit: 2173

26. With regard to claim 59, which teaches one or more computer readable instructions, which are executed by a computer, Bibayan teaches, in column 4, line 9, that the medium is a personal computer.

- 27. With regard to claim 80, which teaches, a method of exposing commands in a software application comprising: determining a users context by ascertaining a position of a user's cursor with in a document provided by the application program, Bibayan teaches, in column 4, lines 40-44, the user locating and initiating a command. With regard to claim 80, further teaching automatically displaying at least one command on a display for the user based on the user's context, Bibayan teaches, in column 4, lines 45-65, the dynamic tool palette display altering its size, shape, and contents in accordance with the context of the currently operating applet.
- 28. With regard to claim 88, which teaches, a method of exposing commands in a software application comprising: determining a users context by ascertaining a position of a user's cursor with in a document provided by the application program, Bibayan teaches, in column 4, lines 40-44, the user locating and initiating a command. With regard to claim 88, further teaching automatically displaying at least one command on a display for the user based on the user's context, Bibayan teaches, in column 4, lines 45-65, the dynamic tool palette display altering its size, shape, and contents in accordance with the context of the currently operating applet. With regard to claim 88, further teaching determining the user context being independent of the selection of a menu item, Bibayan teaches, in column 4, lines 45-65, the display being dependent on the context of an applet, not dependent on the user selecting any displayed menu item.

Art Unit: 2173

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

29. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 30. Claims 3, 4, 12, 13, 41, 42, 45, 49, 56, 57, 82, 83, 90, and 91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bibayan and Meyer et al., Patent # 5,742,504, hereinafter Meyer.
- 31. With regard to claims 3, 4, 82, 83, 90, and 91, Bibayan teaches a system for displaying a dynamic toolbar for an application program (see column 1, line 17), but doesn't teach it being a document-centric program, that displaying does not obscure the document in which the user is working, and that the command is displayed in a modeless fashion in which the user can continue to work in the document while the command is displayed. Meyer teaches a system for displaying a dynamic toolbar similar to that of Bibayan, but further teaches a document-centric format, and that displaying does not obscure a document (see figure 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Bibayan and Meyer before him at the time the invention was made to modify the dynamic toolbar system of Bibayan to include the document-centric format and the display of this toolbar to be non-obtrusive, as did Meyer. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because

Application/Control Number: 09/599,086

Art Unit: 2173

having the ability to work with in a document while displaying all the toolbar options allows the user to use the toolbar options without obscuring the document.

- With regard to claim 12, Bibayan teaches a system for determining a users 32. context, displaying a dynamic toolbar for an application program (see column 1, line 17), automatically removing said command responsive to a change in context (see column 5, line 45), and the display being dependent on the context of an applet, not dependent on the user selecting any displayed menu item (see column 4, lines 45-65), but doesn't teach that the command is displayed in a modeless fashion in which the user can continue to work in the document while the command is displayed. Meyer teaches a system for displaying a dynamic toolbar similar to that of Bibayan, but further teaches the toolbar being displayed in a non-intrusive manner (see figure 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Bibayan and Meyer before him at the time the invention was made to modify the dynamic toolbar system of Bibayan to include the display of this toolbar to be non-intrusive, as did Meyer. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because having the ability to work within a document while displaying all the toolbar options allows the user to use the toolbar options without obscuring the document.
- 33. With regard to claim 13, which teaches determining comprises ascertaining a position of a user's cursor with in a document provided by the application program and ascertaining a user's selection within a document provided by the application program Bibayan further teaches, in column 4, lines 40-44, the user locating and initiating a command.

Application/Control Number: 09/599,086

Art Unit: 2173

- 34. With regard to claim 41, Bibayan teaches a system for determining a users context, displaying a dynamic toolbar for an application program (see column 1, line 17), but doesn't teach a title bar area containing a display button configured to enable a menu associated with the context block. Meyer teaches a system for displaying a dynamic toolbar similar to that of Bibayan, but further teaches the toolbar containing a menu that is associated with a context block. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Bibayan and Meyer before him at the time the invention was made to modify dynamic toolbar system of Bibayan to include the display of this menu bar, as did Meyer. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because the use of a menu bar in a toolbar can add a sub-menu with added functionality.
- 35. With regard to claim 42, which teaches a menu button that contains links to one or more context panes, each comprising additional context sensitive information, Meyer further teaches, in column 4, line 64 through column 5, line 16, menu buttons that open additional context-sensitive panes.
- 36. With regard to claim 45, Bibayan teaches a system for determining a users context, displaying a dynamic toolbar for an application program (see column 1, line 17), and for automatically removing said command responsive to a change in context (see column 5, line 45), but doesn't teach that the command is displayed in a modeless fashion in which the user can continue to work in the document while the command is displayed. Meyer teaches a system for displaying a dynamic toolbar similar to that of Bibayan, but further teaches the toolbar being displayed in a non-intrusive manner (see

Art Unit: 2173

figure 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Bibayan and Meyer before him at the time the invention was made to modify the dynamic toolbar system of Bibayan to include the display of this toolbar to be non-intrusive, as did Meyer. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because having the ability to work with in a document while displaying all the toolbar options allows the user to use the toolbar options without obscuring the document.

- 37. With regard to claim 49, Bibayan teaches, in column 1, lines 17-22, a display of a toolbar containing dynamically data in accordance with the context of the environment or the currently operating application program; however, he fails to teach displaying the commands in a modeless manner. Meyer teaches a system for displaying a dynamic toolbar similar to that of Bibayan, but further teaches displaying commands in a modeless manner (see figure 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Bibayan and Meyer before him at the time the invention was made to modify the Dynamic toolbar system of Bibayan to include the display of this toolbar to be non-obtrusive, as did Meyer. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because having the ability to work with in a document while displaying all the toolbar options allows the user to use the toolbar options without obscuring the document.
- 38. With regard to claims 56 and 57, Bibayan teaches, in column 1, lines 17-22, a display of a toolbar containing dynamically data in accordance with the context of the environment or the currently operating application program, however, he fails to teach a

Application/Control Number: 09/599,086

Art Unit: 2173

help feature accessible via and icon on the title bar and this help being displayed in a modeless fashion. Meyer teaches a system for displaying a dynamic toolbar similar to that of Bibayan, but further teaches displaying a help command in a modeless fashion (see column 21, line 65 and figure 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Bibayan and Meyer before him at the time the invention was made to modify the dynamic toolbar system of Bibayan to include the display of a help icon in the toolbar in a modeless fashion. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because having the ability to access a help command with out moving or minimizing screens has a time saving benefit.

- 39. Claim 58 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bibayan and Powers. III et al., Patent # 5,602,996, hereinafter Powers.
- 40. With regard to claim 58, Bibayan teaches, in column 1, lines 17-22, a display of a toolbar containing dynamic data in accordance with the context of the environment or the currently operating application program; however, he fails to teach multiple context panes stackable in a queue. Powers teaches a method of handling panes similar to that of Bibayan, but further teaches, in column 3, lines 9-35, overlapping windows that can contain button functions, layering on top of one another in which only the top window of the stack is active. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Bibayan and Powers before him at the time the invention was made to modify the display of toolbars containing dynamic data of Bibayan to contain the hierarchical organization as did Powers. One would have been motivated to make such

Application/Control Number: 09/599,086

Art Unit: 2173

a combination because ordering of window is important to make sure that secondary functions of a previous context are implemented before moving into a different context.

- 41. Claims 69-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baer et al., Patent # 6,611,840, hereinafter Baer and Meyer.
- With regard to claim 69, Baer teaches a computer system comprising a single 42. application program comprising a single navigable window, further teaching multiple different functionalities to which the single navigable window can be navigated by a user (see figures 8-21, column 2, lines 14-21, column 45, lines 45-50, and column 49, lines 13-22), but doesn't teach a context sensitive command area for navigating the windows or a single application program configured to automatically change command sets that are presented to the user, or that commands are displayed independent of the user selecting a displayed menu item. Meyer teaches a dynamic windowing environment similar to that of Baer but further teaches, in column 21, line 65 through column 22, line 11, a context sensitive toolbar with volatile elements that only appear in specific context. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Baer and Meyer before him at the time the invention was made to modify the windowing environment of Baer to include the dynamic command areas as did Meyer, and the displayed commands being dependent on the specific context of the window (see column 21, line 65 through column 22, line 11). One would have been motivated to make such a combination because dynamic command areas provide useful functions that can be preformed on the live document, while omitting non-applicable tools.

Art Unit: 2173

43. With regard to claim 70, which teaches the single application program configured to provide navigation instrumentalities associated with the window, and these functionalities being configured for use, Baer further teaches in figures 8-21, navigating between different functionalities through the use of navigation buttons such as HOME, REGISTER LOGIN, LIBRARY, and HELP.

- 44. With regard to claim 71, which teaches that the navigation instrumentalities comprises links associated with each different functionalities, Baer further teaches in figures 8-21, navigating between different functionalities through the use of navigation buttons which contain links to different pages such as HOME, REGISTER LOGIN, LIBRARY, and HELP.
- 45. With regard to claim 72, which teaches one of he navigation instrumentalities comprising browser-like navigation buttons to navigate a window between different functionalities, Baer teaches, in figures 8-21 and in column 2, lines 14-21, a web based browser system, having embedded links to related pages.
- 46. With regard to claim 73, with teaches multiple different functionalities comprising document-centric functionalities, Baer teaches, in figures 8-21 and in column 2, lines 14-21, a web based browser system, which is document centered.
- 47. With regard to claim 74, Baer teaches a computer system comprising a single application program, further teaching multiple different functionalities to which the single navigable window can be navigated by a user (see figures 8-21, column 2, lines 14-21, column 45, lines 45-50, and column 49, lines 13-22) and incorporating different functionalities in an extensible manner so that the user can use the single navigable

Application/Control Number: 09/599,086

Art Unit: 2173

window to navigate to the different functionalities (see figures 8-21 column 45, lines 45-50, and column 49, lines 13-22), but doesn't teach a context sensitive command area for navigating the windows or a single application program configured to automatically change command sets that are presented to the user, or a toolbar that is context sensitive and not dependent on a menu selection. Meyer teaches a dynamic windowing environment similar to that of Baer but further teaches, in column 21, line 65 through column 22, line 11, a context sensitive toolbar with volatile elements that only appear in specific context, and the displayed commands being dependent on the specific context of the window, not a menu selection (see column 21, line 65 through column 22, line 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Baer and Meyer before him at the time the invention was made to modify the windowing environment of Baer to include the dynamic command areas as did Meyer. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because dynamic command areas provide useful functions that can be preformed on the live document, while omitting none applicable tools.

Page 16

- 48. With regard to claim 75, which teaches the single application program configured to provide navigation instrumentalities associated with the window, and these functionalities being configured for use, Baer further teaches in figures 8-21, navigating between different functionalities through the use of navigation buttons such as HOME, REGISTER LOGIN, LIBRARY, and HELP.
- 49. With regard to claim 76, which teaches that the navigation instrumentalities comprise links associated with each different functionalities, Baer further teaches in

Application/Control Number: 09/599,086

Art Unit: 2173

figures 8-21, navigating between different functionalities through the use of navigation buttons which contain links to different pages such as HOME, REGISTER LOGIN, LIBRARY, and HELP.

- 50. With regard to claim 77, which teaches one of he navigation instrumentalities comprising browser-like navigation buttons to navigate a window between different functionalities, Baer teaches, in figures 8-21 and in column 2, lines 14-21, a web based browser system, having embedded links to related pages.
- With regard to claim 78, Baer teaches a computer method, further teaching 51. multiple different functionalities to which the single navigable window can be navigated by a user (see figures 8-21, column 2, lines 14-21, column 45, lines 45-50, and column 49, lines 13-22), receiving user input to indicate a selection of a particular functionality (see column 45, line 51), and incorporating different functionalities in an extensible manner so that the user can use the single navigable window to navigate to the different functionalities (see figures 8-21 column 45, lines 45-50, and column 49, lines 13-22), but doesn't teach a context sensitive command area for navigating the windows or a single application program configured to automatically change command sets that are presented to the user, or that commands are displayed independent of the user selecting a displayed menu item. Meyer teaches a dynamic windowing environment similar to that of Baer but further teaches, in column 21, line 65 through column 22, line 11, a context sensitive toolbar with volatile elements that only appear in specific context, and the displayed commands being dependent on the specific context of the window (see column 21, line 65 through column 22, line 11). It would have been obvious to one

Application/Control Number: 09/599,086

Art Unit: 2173

of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Baer and Meyer before him at the time the invention was made to modify the windowing environment of Baer to include the dynamic command areas as did Meyer. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because dynamic command areas provide useful functions that can be preformed on the live document, while omitting none applicable tools.

- 52. With regard to claim 79, which teaches automatically removing said a least one command from the display responsive to change in the user's context, Mayer further teaches, in column 22, line 5, volatile buttons appearing only in specific contexts.
- 53. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bibayan and Baer. Bibayan teaches a method of displaying dynamic toolbars responsive to user context, but doesn't teach a command display being defined in HTML. Baer teaches a windowing environment similar to that of Bibayan, but further teaches, the system being Internet based (HTML). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Bibayan and Baer before him at the time the invention was made to modify the dynamic toolbars of Bibayan to be used in an Internet environment. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because use of the dynamic toolbar in a browser will allow for easier navigation through the Internet.
- 54. Claim 55 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bibayan and Gayraud et al., Patent # 5,436,637, hereinafter Gayraud. Bibayan teaches a method of displaying dynamic toolbars responsive to user context, but doesn't teach context panes including context sensitive information. Gayraud teaches a windowing environment similar to that of Bibayan, but further teaches, a help feature for toolbars in

Art Unit: 2173

which info is displayed when a users mouse moves over an icon (see column 3, lines 45-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Bibayan and Gayraud before him at the time the invention was made to modify the method of displaying dynamic toolbars of Bibayan to include the help feature of Gayraud. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because hints for tools can help a user to be able to make sense of a complex set of toolbar icons.

### Response to Arguments

- 55. The arguments filed on 03-08-2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Reasons set forth below.
- 56. The applicants' argue that the drawings were improperly objected to.
- 57. In response, the examiner respectfully submits that the applicant's argument was taken and that drawing objection will be removed.
- 58. The applicants' argue that claim 59 had been improperly objected to as being in improper dependent form.
- 59. In response, the examiner respectfully submits that applicant's argument was taken and the objection will be removed.
- 60. The applicants' argue, with regard to claims 6, 12, and 46, that Bibayan does not disclose or suggest the context being determined from the selection of a menu item, and not the context of a document.
- 61. In response, the examiner respectfully submits that Bibayan teaches, in column 4, lines 45-65, that the Dynamic tool palette display alters its size, shape, and contents

Art Unit: 2173

in accordance with the context of the currently operating applet. Bibayan give an example where the user selects a folder icon from the static toolbar, but the dynamic tool bar is not adapted until the new folder windows context is evaluated. It is also noted that this is a specific example and that context can be evaluated from a window not prompted from the static toolbar.

- The applicants' argue, with regard to claims 69, 74, and 78, that neither Baer nor Meyer disclose or suggest the context being determined from the selection of a menu item, and not the context of a document.
- 63. In response, the examiner respectfully submits that Meyer teaches, in column 21, line 65 through column 22, line 60, that volatile button, that appear only in a specific context, are linked to a specific window or sub window that is visible and no where states that it is dependent on a selection of a menu item.
- 64. The applicants' argue that, with respect to claim 36, it is unclear what the office is considering and expression.
- 65. In response, the examiner respectfully submits that, Bibayan teaches, in column 4, line 45 through column 5, line 5, the process of determining the context of the current folder and adapting the dynamic tool palette to the folder, where the tool functions are displayed if they apply (if the expression condition is true) to the currently running folder.

#### Conclusion

66. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2173

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

- A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 68. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis G. Bonshock whose telephone number is (703) 305-4668. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday, 6:30 a.m. 4:00 p.m.
- 69. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (703) 308-3116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/599,086

Art Unit: 2173

70. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dgb

RAYMOND J. BAYERL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2173

Page 22